

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,059	07/30/2003	Brian Eugene Baldwin	50012-00027	7769
25231	7590 07/08/2004		EXAM	INER
MARSH, FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP	NASH, B	RIAN D		
3151 SOUTH VAUGHN WAY SUITE 411		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AURORA, CO 80014			3721	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06292004			
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/2003.	PTO/SB/08) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio * See the attached detailed Office actio	documents have been rece documents have been rece of the priority documents ha nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ave been received in this National Stage (a)).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
9) The specification is objected to by th 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b ction to the drawing(s) be held the correction is required if th	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Application Papers	,				
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 31-42</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 31-42</u> is/are rejection. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consider				
Disposition of Claims	ling in the application				
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
· · ·	•	mal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>27 Mav 2004</i> .				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nunication. i0) days, a reply within the statutory minutatiory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed simum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Period for Reply		·			
The MAILING DATE of this commun	Brian D Nash ication appears on the cove	3721 arsheet with the correspondence address			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	10/630,059	BALDWIN ET AL.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,059 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comments

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received 27 May 2004. Applicant has remedied the notation of amended claims 5 and 7 and added new claims 31-42; however no formal election of claims has been made. The pending claims are 1-17 and 31-42.

2. With the cancellation of claims 18-30, the examiner construes applicant's amendment as an election of Group I, claims 1-17, drawn to a process for filling syringe bodies with a specific amount of fluid via pressurized injection. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. It is suggested that the title be changed to "METHOD FOR FILLING, HANDLING, AND CAPPING SYRINGES"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,059

Art Unit: 3721

Page 3

5. Claims 1-17 and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 34, lines 7 and 8 respectively, the terminology "one of the caps" is vague, indefinite, and confusingly worded because it is not clear what applicant is claiming.

Specifically, it is not clear if there are one or multiple caps for each syringe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 16-17, and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,884,457 to Ortiz et al. Ortiz et al show the same invention including a method for filling a plurality of syringe bodies wherein the syringe body is held, a cap is removed from a dispensing end, the syringe is filled from the dispensing end (66), and a cap is replaced onto the dispensing end (see Fig. 7G). Ortiz et al further show removing the cap via automated movement between a cap removing suction (54) and the syringe-dispensing end (again see Fig. 7G).

It is noted that Ortiz et al do not show a specific step of placing a cap onto the syringe bodies; however, the sterilized syringe bodies of Ortiz et al begin with a cap and it is therefore inherent that the step of placing a cap onto the syringe bodies occurs prior to the holding step.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,059 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Furthermore, Ortiz et al disclose the syringe bodies to be sterile at the beginning of the filling process and that operations are performed in a sterile environment (see column 14, lines 19-25). It is therefore also inherent that syringe bodies are sterilized prior to the holding step.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,884,457 to Ortiz et al. As stated above in this office action, Ortiz et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed, but do not show sensing the position of a plunger end thereof to terminate the filling step. However, Ortiz et al disclose an automated process in which a programmable pump delivers a predetermined and accurate amount of fluid is pumped into the syringe body. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use a sensor on the syringe body to determine when to terminate filling since the applicant has not disclosed that using a sensor in place of a programmable pump solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with either design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 5-8, 10, 14, 31-33, and 38-42 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vacca, Smith et al, Reinhard et al, Porfano et al, Reilly et al, and Odell et al are cited to show related references.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Nash whose telephone number is (703) 305-4959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached at 703 308-2187.

The fax number for this Group is: 703-872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Brian D. Nash 6 July 2004

SCOTT A. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER